

**Restrictions**

We work on ground instantiations of normal logic programs.

Negation symbols may appear in clause bodies.

Essentially, program  $P$  is countably infinite set of propositional rules.  
Herbrand base  $B_P \approx$  set of propositional variables (atoms).

**Slide 3**

$\text{flies}(x) \leftarrow \text{bird}(x) \wedge \neg \text{penguin}(x)$   
 $\text{bird}(\text{Bob}) \leftarrow$

de 1

Does Bob fly?

(We talk about Prolog only in a very abstract sense.)

$A \leftarrow B_1, \dots, B_n, \neg C_1, \dots, \neg C_m$   
 $A \leftarrow \text{body}$

**Level mappings**

**Fixed-point semantics in logic programming and nonmonotonic reasoning: A uniform approach**

Pascal Hitzler

Workshop on Proof Theory and Computation, Dresden, May 2002

**Contents**

de 2

**Slide 4**

- For semantics based on monotonic operators:  
characterizations using level mappings.
- For semantics based on non-monotonic operators:  
study of dynamic behaviour using level mappings.

Artificial Intelligence Institute, Dresden University of Technology, Dresden, Germany

### Least models

Positive (definite) program  $P$ .

There is a unique model  $M$  of  $P$  for which there exists a level mapping  $l : B_P \rightarrow \alpha$  such that for each  $A \in B_P$  with  $M \models A$  there exists  $A \leftarrow \text{body}$  in  $P$  with  $M \models \text{body}$  and  $l(A) > l(B)$  for each  $B \in \text{body}$ .

$M = T_P \uparrow \omega = \text{lfp}(T_P)$  is the least model of  $P$ .

$$l(A) = \min\{n \mid A \in T_P \uparrow (n + 1)\}.$$

### Stable models

(Pages 1994)

$P$  normal (with negation).

A model  $M$  of  $P$  is stable if and only if there exists a level mapping  $l : B_P \rightarrow \alpha$  such that for each  $A \in B_P$  with  $M \models A$  there exists  $A \leftarrow \text{body}$  in  $P$  with  $M \models \text{body}$  and  $l(A) > l(B)$  for all  $B \in \text{body}^+$ .  
 $\text{body}^+$ : all atoms occurring positively in  $\text{body}$ .

$$M = \text{GLP}(M) = T_{P/M} \uparrow \omega = \text{lfp}(T_{P/M}).$$

$$l(A) = \min\{n \mid A \in T_{P/M} \uparrow (n + 1)\}.$$

### Kleene's strong three-valued logic

Truth values  $f < u < t$ ,  $\wedge = \min$ ,  $\vee = \max$ ,  $\neg$  as expected.

Interpretations: consistent signed sets of atoms.

$$I = I^+ \cup \neg I^- \subseteq B_P \cup \neg B_P.$$

$I^+$ : true atoms

$I^-$ : false atoms

Signed: contains atoms and negated atoms.

Consistent: does not contain both  $A$  and  $\neg A$ .

With order  $I \subseteq K$ : Plotkin's domain  $\mathbb{T}^\omega$ .

$I$ -partial level mapping:

partial mapping  $l : B_P \rightarrow \alpha$  with  $\text{dom}(l) = I^+ \cup I^-$ .

Set  $l(\neg A) = l(A)$ .

### Fitting models

There is a greatest model  $M$  of  $P$  such that there is an  $M$ -partial level mapping  $l$  for  $P$  such that each  $A \in \text{dom}(l)$  satisfies one of the following conditions.

(Fi)  $A \in M$  and there exists  $A \leftarrow L_1, \dots, L_n$  in  $P$  such that for all  $i$  we have  $L_i \in M$  and  $l(A) > l(L_i)$ .

(Fii)  $\neg A \in M$  and for each  $A \leftarrow L_1, \dots, L_n$  in  $P$  there exists  $i$  with  $\neg L_i \in M$  and  $l(A) > l(L_i)$ .

$$M = \Phi_P \uparrow \alpha = \text{lfp}(\Phi_P) \quad \text{Fitting model.}$$

$$l(A) = \min\{\beta \mid A \in \Phi_P \uparrow (\beta + 1)\}.$$

### Well-founded models

(Hitzler & Wendt 2002)

Replace

(Fii)  $\neg A \in M$  and for  $A \leftarrow L_1, \dots, L_n$  in  $P$   
there exists  $i$  with  $\neg L_i \in M$  and  $l(A) > l(L_i)$ .

by

(WFii)  $\neg A \in M$  and for each  $A \leftarrow A_1, \dots, A_n, \neg B_1, \dots, \neg B_m$  in  $P$   
one of the following holds:

(WFiia) There exists  $i$  with  $\neg A_i \in M$  and  $l(A) \geq l(A_i)$ .

(WFiib) There exists  $j$  with  $B_j \in M$  and  $l(A) > l(B_j)$ .

Prevent recursion through negation: Idea behind local stratification.

Weak stratification: Presentation by Matthias Wendt next Wednesday.

### Well-founded models

There is a greatest model  $M$  of  $P$  such that there is an  $M$ -partial level mapping  $l$  for  $P$  such that each  $A \in \text{dom}(l)$  satisfies one of the following conditions.

(Fi)  $A \in M$  and there exists  $A \leftarrow L_1, \dots, L_n$  in  $P$  such that for all  $i$   
we have  $L_i \in M$  and  $l(A) > l(L_i)$ .

(WFii)  $\neg A \in M$  and for each  $A \leftarrow A_1, \dots, A_n, \neg B_1, \dots, \neg B_m$  in  $P$   
one of the following holds:

(WFiia) There exists  $i$  with  $\neg A_i \in M$  and  $l(A) \geq l(A_i)$ .

(WFiib) There exists  $j$  with  $B_j \in M$  and  $l(A) > l(B_j)$ .

$M = W_P \uparrow \alpha = \text{lfp}(W_P)$  well-founded model.

$l(A) = \min\{\beta \mid A \in W_P \uparrow (\beta + 1)\}$ .

### Well-founded models

stable models:  $M = \text{GL}_P(M) = T_{P/M} \uparrow \omega$ .

$\text{GL}_P$  antitonic,  $\text{GL}_P^2$  monotonic.

well-founded model:

$\text{lfp}(\text{GL}_P^2) \cup \neg \text{gfp}(\text{GL}_P^2) = \text{lfp}(\text{GL}_P^2) \cup \neg \text{GL}_P(\text{lfp}(\text{GL}_P^2))$ .

$L_\alpha = \text{GL}_P^2 \uparrow \alpha$   $G_\alpha = \text{GL}_P(L_\alpha)$ .

$l(A) = (\alpha, n)$  with:

For  $A \in \text{lfp}(\text{GL}_P^2)$ :  $\alpha$  least with  $A \in L_{\alpha+1}$

$n$  least with  $A \in T_{P/G_\alpha} \uparrow (n+1)$ .

For  $A \notin \text{gfp}(\text{GL}_P^2)$ :  $\alpha$  least with  $A \notin G_{\beta+1}$

$n = \omega$ .

### Supported models

Back to classical (two-valued) logic.

Immediate consequence operator:

$T_P(I)$  set of all  $A \in B_P$  such that exists  $A \leftarrow \text{body}$  in  $P$  with  $I \models \text{body}$ .

$T_P$  in general not monotonic.

supported model:  $M = T_P(M)$ .

propagation along  $\leftarrow$

## Related paradigms

logic programs with immediate consequence operator

cellular automata

artificial neural networks

topological dynamical systems

(see e.g. Blair et al. 1999)

## Acyclic/locally hierarchical programs

$P$  locally hierarchical if  $l : B_P \rightarrow \alpha$  for some ordinal  $\alpha$   
and for each  $A \leftarrow L_1, \dots, L_n$  in  $P$ :

$$l(A) > l(L_i) \text{ for all } i.$$

$P$  acyclic if  $l : B_P \rightarrow \omega$ .

e 14

Distance function on space  $I_P$  of all interpretations:

$$d_{(J,K)} = \begin{cases} \inf \{2^{-\beta} \mid J, K \text{ agree on atoms with level } < \beta\} & \text{if } J \neq K \\ 0 & \text{if } J = K. \end{cases}$$

Workshop on Proof Theory and Computation • Dresden • 05.2002

## Acyclic programs

$P$  acyclic:

- $d$  complete ultrametric.
- $T_P$  contraction.
- $T_P$  has unique fixed point. (Via Banach contraction mapping theorem.)
- $P$  has unique supported model  $M$ .
- $T_P^{\omega}(K) \rightarrow M$  in the Cantor topology on  $I_P$  (for all  $K$ ).

Slide 15

Acyclic programs terminate under SLDNF-resolution  
with respect to any selection rule. (Bezem 1989)

## Locally hierarchical programs

$P$  locally hierarchical:

- $d$  spherically complete generalized ultrametric. ( $d$  maps into poset.)
- $T_P$  strictly contracting.
- $T_P$  has unique fixed point. (Via Priess-Crampe & Ribbenboim theorem.)
- $P$  has unique supported model  $M$ .
- $T_P^{\omega}(K) = M$  for some  $\alpha$  via transfinite iteration.

Slide 16

Workshop on Proof Theory and Computation • Dresden • 05.2002

### Acceptable programs

$\text{Neg}_P^*$ : atoms occurring negatively in  $P$

together with all predicates on which they depend.

$P^-$ : all ground clauses with head in  $\text{Neg}_P^*$ .

**e 17**  $P$  is *acceptable* (with respect to model  $I$  and  $l : B_P \rightarrow \omega$ ) if

$I$  restricted to  $\text{Neg}_P^*$  is a supported model of  $P^-$

and for all  $A \leftarrow L_1, \dots, L_n$  in  $P$  and all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ :

if  $I \models \bigwedge_{j=1}^{i-1} L_j$  then  $l(A) > l(L_i)$ .

Acceptable programs are left-terminating (and conversely if non-floundering) (Apt & Pedreschi 1994).

### Acceptable programs

For  $K \in I_P$  let  $K'$  be  $K$  restricted to predicates not in  $\text{Neg}_P^*$ .

$$f : I_P \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : K \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } K \subseteq I \\ 2^{-n} & n \text{ least s.t. exists } A \in K \setminus I \text{ with } l(A) = n. \end{cases}$$

$$u(K) = \max\{f(K'), d(K \setminus K', I \setminus I')\}$$

$$\varrho(I, K) = \max\{d(I, K), u(I), u(K)\}.$$

**e 18**  $\varrho$  almost a metric, fails  $d(K, K) = 0$  for all  $K$ .

$\varrho$  dislocated metric (Matthews 1986).

Banach theorem carries over (Matthews 1986) with same consequences as for acyclic programs.

Used a priori (partial) knowledge about fixed point.

### Full knowledge about fixed point

(Hitzler & Seda 2001)

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_1$  topological space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a function which has a unique fixed point  $a$  and such that for each  $x \in X$  we have that  $f^n(x)$  converges to  $a$  in  $\tau$ .

**Slide 19** Then there exists a function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete ultrametric space and such that for all  $x, y \in X$  we have  $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(x, y)$ .

In the proof:  $d$  is constructed using  $a$ .

“Converse” of the Banach contraction mapping theorem.

### Quo Vadis? Fixed-point theorems

Tools have been developed.

Mostly new perspectives on known results.

**Slide 20** Are there new applications out there?

#### Acknowledgements

Anthony K. Seda, Cork.

Most of the fixed-point results were joint work.

Mathias Wendt.

Main work in the “well-founded” characterization.

## Quo Vadis? Characterizations via level-mappings

Generalize

extended disjunctive etc. programs.

logic programming on posets. (Rounds & Zhang 2001; Hitzler 2002)

Apply

computation of models (answer set programming).

e 21

*Acknowledgements*

Anthony K. Seda, Cork.

Most of the fixed-point results were joint work.

Matthias Wendt.

Main work on the “well-founded” characterization.