

CS 410/610, MTH 410/610

Theoretical Foundations of Computing

Fall Quarter 2010

Slides 1

Pascal Hitzler

Kno.e.sis Center

Wright State University, Dayton, OH

<http://www.knoesis.org/pascal/>



1. **Discussion: What is “computable?”**
2. **Uncomputable – an example**
3. **Lecture overview**
4. **This lecture in the context of others**
5. **Organizational matters**

Which things can be computed?

Which things cannot be computed?

What exactly is “computation”?

- **Generally, abstract from space/memory limitations**
 - Assume memory is “as large as needed”
- **Ignore, how long a computation takes**
 - as long as it terminates in finite time.
- **Often, use only numbers/integers or only (finite) strings as the things which are computed/stored in memory.**
- **There exist many formal models of computation.**

- **Turing Machine (in this lecture – at the beginning)**
- **μ -Recursive functions (in this lecture – towards the end)**
- **λ -calculus (see functional programming)**
- **Unlimited Register Machine**
- **WHILE-language**
- **... many others ...**

- Registers r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots
holding non-negative integers
- Initialization: finite number of registers \neq zero
- A program consists of a finite sequence of instructions.
- Available instructions:
 - Zero $Z(n)$: set register r_n to 0
 - Successor $S(n)$: increase r_n by 1
 - Transfer $T(m,n)$: copy r_m to r_n
 - Jump $J(m,n,p)$: If $r_m = r_n$, jump to instruction number p

- **Minimal programming language, essentially consisting of**
 - **Elementary arithmetic $+$, $-$, $*$, $/$**
 - **Boolean comparison of numbers: $<$, $>$, $=$, \leq , \geq , \neq**
 - **Logical AND, OR, NOT**
 - **Assignment of values to variables**
 - **WHILE loops as only control features**

Are they different?

- **Not really.**
- **All models with certain minimal capabilities have so far been shown to be equivalent.**
- **This is actually quite remarkable!**

1. Discussion: What is “computable?”
2. **Uncomputable – an example**
3. Lecture overview
4. This lecture in the context of others
5. Organizational matters

- **N: Natural numbers (non-negative integers):** $N = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$
- **P(N): set of all subsets of N**
Examples:
 - $\{0,1,2,3,4,\dots\}$
 - $\{\}$
 - $\{0,2,4,6,8,\dots\}$
 - $\{2,3,267,1011\}$
 - $\{0,1,2,3,5,8,13,21,34,\dots\}$
 - $\{2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,\dots\}$

- **We say that an algorithm (in some model of computation) computes a subset S of N if**
 - **It outputs a stream of non-negative integers (strictly increasing).**
 - **It needs only finite time between two outputs.**
 - **It does not skip any number in S .**
 - **All output numbers are in S .**
 - **If it terminates, then it has output all integers in S .**

Question: Can every set in $P(N)$ be computed?

- **Every algorithm which computes a subset of N can be expressed with a finite string.**
- **It is easy to define a strict order on the set of all algorithms.**
 - E.g. lexicographic order.
 - E.g. convert them to bit strings and sort by binary number.
- **Hence, we can assume that $\{A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots\}$ is the set of all algorithms computing subsets of N .**

Uncomputable example

Mark the output of each A_i :

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	...
A_0		x			x	x		x		
A_1		x	x		x		x		x	
A_2	x		x	x	x			x		
A_3		x		x					x	
A_4	x	x	x		x		x	x		
A_5	x			x	x			x		
A_6		x				x			x	
...										

Uncomputable example

Now make a new subset of \mathbb{N} by “inverting” the diagonal:

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	...
A_0	x				x	x		x		
A_1		x	x		x		x		x	
A_2	x		x	x	x			x		
A_3		x		x					x	
A_4	x	x	x		x		x	x		
A_5	x			x	x	x		x		
A_6		x				x	x		x	
...								x		

Result: x x x
i.e. { 0, 5, 6, ... **}**

Uncomputable example

The resulting set is not computed by any A_i !

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	...
A_0	x				x	x		x		
A_1		x	x		x		x		x	
A_2	x		x	x	x			x		
A_3		x		x					x	
A_4	x	x	x		x		x	x		
A_5	x			x	x	x		x		
A_6		x				x	x		x	
...								...		



Result: x x x
i.e. { 0, 5, 6, ... }

A_5 doesn't compute it!

Uncomputable example

The resulting set is not computed by any A_i !

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	...
A_0		x			x	x		x		
A_1		x	x		x		x		x	
A_2	x		x	x	x			x		
A_3		x		x					x	
A_4	x	x	x		x		x	x		
A_5	x			x	x			x		
A_6		x				x			x	
...								...		

but we have all possible algorithms in the list!

Hence: we found a set which is not computable!

- The set of all algorithms is *countable*.
(I.e., can be enumerated as A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots)
- The set $P(\mathbb{N})$ is *uncountable*.
(I.e., cannot be enumerated as S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots)
 - Essentially the same proof. With a slight twist.
- This proof technique is known as “diagonalization.”
 - We will need the technique for the main result in this lecture.
 - It is usually credited to Georg Cantor (1845–1918); at least he was the first to publish the diagonalization proof that $P(\mathbb{N})$ is uncountable).

- Adjust the proof just given such that you prove the following:

The set of all subsets of N is uncountable.

1. Discussion: What is “computable?”
2. Uncomputable – an example
3. **Lecture overview**
4. This lecture in the context of others
5. Organizational matters

- **Model of computation: Turing Machines**
- **The Church-Turing Thesis**
(“Turing Machines are universal computers.”)
- **A famous uncomputable problem: The Halting problem**
(“There is no algorithm which can check for all other algorithms whether they will terminate”)
- **Another model of computation: μ -Recursive Functions**

1. Discussion: What is “computable?”
2. Uncomputable – an example
3. Lecture overview
4. **This lecture in the context of others**
5. Organizational matters

- **Three parts to “Foundations of Computing”**
 - **Formal Languages and Automata (Sudkamp Part II)**
 - **This lecture: Computability Theory (Sudkamp Part III)**
 - **Computational Complexity (Sudkamp Part IV)**
- **Key outcomes from this lecture**
 - **Turing Machines, Church-Turing Thesis, Halting Problem**
 - **Practice dealing with formal (mathematical) notions and techniques**
 - **Learning to be formally precise**
 - **Understand the fundamental limitations of computing**

Is the following true?

No C are B.

All B are A.

Therefore, some A are not C.

Is the following true?

No flying things are penguins.

All penguins are birds.

Therefore, some birds are not fliers.

[Example taken from Newsweek August 16, 2010, page 24: “The Limits of Reason” by Sharon Begley.]

1. Discussion: What is “computable?”
2. Uncomputable – an example
3. Lecture overview
4. This lecture in the context of others
5. **Organizational matters**

- **Office Hours: Thursdays 2-3, Joshi 389.**
Email contact preferred.
No office hour on 9th of September!
- **Textbook (required):**
Thomas A. Sudkamp, Languages and Machines, Third Edition,
Addison Wesley, 2006.
- **Grading:**
Midterm exam: 30%
Final exam: 50%
Exercises: 20%
(I may adjust in your favor)

- **We will frequently make exercise sessions.**
You will get exercises, to be done at home. Some will be marked as graded by me (hand-in exercises). They are all discussed afterwards in class.
Each exercise counts 5 points.
An average of 4 points counts as 100% for the exercises.
Exercises are due *one week after I pose them – at the beginning of the class.*
- **Webpage/slides.**
I prefer to use a public website:
<http://knoesis.wright.edu/faculty/pascal/teaching/s10/complexity.html>

- **I will be absent**
 - **Sept. 9th (substitute: Prof. TK Prasad)**
 - **Sept. 21st and 23rd**
 - **Nov. 9th (week before exams)**
 - **How do we make up for this?**
 - **Extra sessions on Fridays, 6pm-7:40pm**
 - **September 17th**
 - **October 1st**
 - **October 8th**
 - **Room to be determined.**

Tentative

We cover most of chapters 8, 9, 11, 12, 13

- **Schedule**
 - **Week 1: Introduction; Turing Machines**
 - **Week 2: Turing Machines continued**